The Lattice Boltzmann Method and Multiscale Hemodynamics: Recent Advances and Persepectives

Giuseppe Pontrelli^{1*}, Ian Halliday², Simone Melchionna³, Timothy J. Spencer², Sauro Succi¹

¹Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo - CNR, Via dei Taurini 19, 00185 Roma, Italy; **giuseppe.pontrelli@gmail.com* ²MERI - Sheffield Hallam University, UK ³IECC - CNR, Barres, Italy

³IPFC - CNR, Roma, Italy

Simulation Notes Europe SNE 23(2), 2013, 59 - 70 DOI: 10.11128/sne.23.on.10181 Received: Nov. 3, 2012 (Selected MATHMOD 2012 Postconf. Publ.); Revised Accepted: June 15, 2013;

Abstract. Large-scale simulations of blood flow allow for the optimal evaluation of endothelial shear stress for real-life case studies in cardiovascular pathologies. The procedure for anatomic data acquisition, geometry and mesh generation are particularly favorable if used in conjunction with the Lattice Boltzmann method and the underlying cartesian mesh. The methodology allows to accommodate red blood cells in order to take into account the corpuscular nature of blood in multi-scale scenarios and its complex rheological response, in particular, in proximity of the endothelium. Taken together, the Lattice Boltzmann framework has become a powerful computational tool for studying sections of the human circulatory system.

Introduction

Mathematical models and numerical simulations of the cardiovascular system are one of the major challenges in applied sciences nowdays. The rapid development of computing power and the progress in numerical techniques for parallel computers have resulted in significant breakthroughs in vascular research and blood flow simulations constitute a rapidly growing field for the bioengineering and clinical communities. The study of blood in the macrovasculature, as much as in capillaries, has deep implications in understanding and prevention of the most common cardiovascular pathologies, with atherosclerosis being perhaps the best known example. Atherosclerosis is responsible for ~ 35% of annual deaths in civilized countries and its development depends on the presence of systemic risk factors. The disease results from the accumulation of lipid molecules within the vessel walls, as well as from enhanced exposure to intramural penetration of nano-sized biological bodies [1]. The build up of the resultant soft tissue and the eventual changes in its consistency leads to serious atherosclerotic pathologies, including catastrophic events such as plaque rupture. Atherosclerotic plaques appear in regions of disturbed blood flow where the local wall shear stress (WSS) is low (< 1.0 Pa) or of alternating direction [2]. Hence, plaques tend to form near arterial bifurcations where the flow is always altered compared to unbranched regions [3].

Atherosclerosis primarily affects the coronary arteries and the evidence that low average WSS has a key role in the disease localization and progression is widely accepted [4, 5, 6]. Predictions of where and how the illness is likely to develop can be obtained by fluid dynamics simulations as a routine methodology to study blood flow patterns in human arteries. As a matter of fact, the shape and the structure of endothelium plays a number of important roles in the vascular system and its dysfunction may lead to several pathological states, including early development of atherosclerosis [7]. The microscopic shape of the endothelium is defined by the presence of endothelial cells (EC's henceforth), making the arterial wall undulate. This effect becomes more pronounced in small-sized vessels, where the corrugation degree increases. The study of blood flow over a regularly undulating wall made of equally aligned and distributed EC's has been recently carried out in [8] where the variation of wall shear stress over the EC's has been computed. Furthermore, the endothelium is coated by long-chained macromolecules and proteins which form a thin porous layer, called the glycocalyx [9]. The glycocalyx has a *brushlike* structure and a thickness which varies with the vessel diameter, but its average is 100 nm for arterioles. It has several roles: it serves as a transport barrier, to prevent ballistic red blood cell (RBC) interactions with the endothelium, and as a sensor and a transducer of mechanical forces, such as fluid shear stress, to the surface of EC's. Actually, it has been recognized that the glycocalyx responds to the flow environment and, in particular, to the fluid stress, but the mechanism by which these proteins sense the shearing forces and transduce mechanical into biochemical signals is still not fully understood [7].

The glycocalyx itself is remodeled by the shearing flow and by the compression exerted by the deformed erythrocytes in capillaries [10]. Flow induced mechanotransduction in EC's has been studied over the years with emphasis on correlation between disturbed flow and atherosclerosis. Recently, some mathematical modelling work has been carried out, using a porous medium to model the endothelial surface layer (ESL henceforth) [11, 12]. However, none of these works include the effect of the roughness, or wavy nature, of the wall, which should be incorporated for a more realistic description at the microscopic level. In the following sections we will present a coarse-grained model that attempts to include some of the basic physical microscale effects of the ESL attached to the EC's and hence, examine to what extent the wall shear stress may vary due to this layer in addition to the previously examined EC shape and particulate transport.

Simulations of blood flows based on the Lattice Boltzmann (LB) method provide a particularly efficient and exible framework in handling complex arterial geometries. In the past, the LB method has been applied to a broad range of fluid-dynamic problems, including turbulence and multiphase flows [13], as well as in blood flow simulations in steady and pulsatile regimes and with non-Newtonian flows through stenoses [14]. A direct benefit of the joint use of simulation and imaging techniques is to understand the connection between fluid-mechanical flow patterns and plaque formation and evolution, with important implications for predicting the course of atherosclerosis and possibly preventing or mitigating its effects, in particular by noninvasively and inexpensively screening large numbers of patients for incipient arterial disease, and to intervene at clinical level prior to the occurrence of a catastrophic event. One option is to obtain the arterial wall shape, plaque morphology and lumen anatomy from the noninvasive Multi-Detector Computed Tomography (MDCT) imaging technique, as in the newest systems with 320detector rows, a technology that enables 3D acquisition of the entire arterial tree in a single heart beat and high accuracy of nominal resolution of 0.1 mm [15].

The LB method is particularly suitable for handling such complex arterial geometries, since most of its simplicity stems from an underlying cartesian mesh over which fluid motion is represented. LB is based on moving information along straight-line trajectories, associated with the constant speed of fictitious molecules which characterize the state of the fluid at any instant and spatial location. This picture stands in sharp contrast with the fluid-dynamic representation, in which, by definition, information moves along the material lines defined by fluid velocity itself, usually a very complex space-time dependent vector field. This main asset has motivated the increasing use over the last decade of LB techniques for large-scale simulations of complex hemodynamic flows [16, 17, 18, 19].

The main aim of this paper is to show that the inclusion of crucial components such as RBC's, the corrugated wall and the glycocalyx, can be done within a single unified computational framework. This would allow us to reproduce blood rheology in complex flows and geometrical conditions, including the non-trivial interplay between erythrocytes and wall structure. The possibility of embedding suspended bodies in the surrounding plasma and the glycocalyx representation over an undulated endothelial wall addresses major steps forward to model blood from a bottom-up perspective, in order to avoid unnecessary an sometimes wrong assumptions in hemodynamics.

1 The Lattice Boltzmann Method and Hemodynamics

In the last decade, the LB method has captured increasing attention from the fluid dynamics community as a competitive computational alternative to the discretization of the Navier-Stokes equations of continuum mechanics. LB is a hydrokinetic approach and a minimal form of the Boltzmann kinetic equation, based on the collective dynamics of fictitious particles on the nodes of a regular lattice. The dynamics of fluid particles is designed in such a way as to obey the basic conservation laws ensuring hydrodynamic behavior in the continuum limit, in which the molecular mean free path is much shorter than typical macroscopic scales [13]. This condition is clearly met in most blood flow regimes, together with the Newtonian rheological behavior of blood in large arterial systems. Non-Newtonian rheological models appropriate for simulating blood flow in medium or small-sized arteries, such as the Casson, Carreau or Carreau-Yasuda models, can be also incorporated within the LB approach [20, 21].

The LB method can be regarded as a mesoscopic (between microscopic and macroscopic) approach for modeling macroscopic hydrodynamics. Rather than following the position and velocity of each particle in the system, as is done in microscopic models (i.e. molecular dynamics), the fluid flow is described by tracking the evolution of the density distribution function (or population). In other words, the LB method is based on the collective dynamics of fictitious particles on the nodes of a regular lattice where the basic quantity is $f_p(\mathbf{x}, t)$, representing the probability of finding a 'fluid particle p' at the mesh location \mathbf{x} and at time t and traveling with discrete speed c_p . 'Fluid particles' represent the collective motion of a group of physical particles.



Figure 1: The D3Q19 cubic lattice.

The rate of change of the particle distribution function is given by the following discrete Boltzmann equation:

$$\partial_{t}f_{p} + \boldsymbol{c}_{p} \cdot \nabla f_{p} = -\frac{1}{\tau}(f_{p} - f_{p}^{eq})$$
(1)

in which the left-hand side represents the molecular free streaming, whereas the right-hand side represents molecular collisions via a single-time relaxation towards local equilibrium f_p^{eq} on a typical timescale τ [13]. The latter is called the relaxation time and, in macroscopic terms, it is related to the fluid viscosity.

To discretize the previous equation, we employ the common three-dimensional 19-speed cubic lattice (D3Q19) with mesh spacing Δx , where the discrete velocities c_p connect mesh points to first and second neighbors (Fig. 1) [22]. The fluid populations are advanced in a timestep $\Delta t = 1$ through the following evolution equation:

$$f_p(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}_p \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = f_p(\mathbf{x}, t) - \omega (f_p - f_p^{eq})(\mathbf{x}, t) + F_p(\mathbf{x}, t)$$
(2)

The right hand side of Eq. (2) represents the effect of fluid-fluid molecular collisions, through a relaxation towards a local equilibrium, typically a second-order expansion in the fluid velocity of a local Maxwellian with speed **u**,

$$f_p^{eq} = w_p \rho \left[1 + \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_p}{\boldsymbol{c}_s^2} + \frac{\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \cdot (\boldsymbol{c}_p \boldsymbol{c}_p - \boldsymbol{c}_s^2 \boldsymbol{I})}{2\boldsymbol{c}_s^4} \right]$$
(3)

where $c_s = 1/\sqrt{3}$ is the speed of sound, w_p is a set of weights normalized to unity, and I is the unit tensor in Cartesian space. The relaxation frequency $\omega = \frac{1}{\tau}$ controls the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. The kinetic moments of the discrete populations provide the local mass density $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_p f_p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and momentum $\rho \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_p c_p f_p(\mathbf{x}, t)$. The last term F_p in eqn. (2) represents a momentum source, given by the presence of suspended bodies, if RBC's are included in the model, as discussed in the following sections. Through the Chapman-Enskog procedure, in the incompressible limit, the Navier-Stokes eqns:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla \mathbf{P} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F}$$
(4)

are recovered from eqn. (2) [13], where *P* is the pressure, $v = c_s^2 \Delta t \left(\frac{1}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$ the kinematic viscosity and *F* is any body force, corresponding to F_p in eqn. (2).

The LB is a low-Mach, weakly-compressible fluid solver and presents several major advantages for the practical implementation in complex geometries. In particular, in hemodynamic simulations, the curved blood vessels are shaped on the Cartesian mesh scheme via a staircase representation, in contrast to body-fitted grids that can be employed in direct Navier-Stokes simulations. This apparently crude representation of the vessel walls is sufficient at macroscopic level and can be systematically improved by increasing the mesh resolution. In addition, at the high mesh resolution required to sample low-noise WSS data, the LB method requires rather small time steps (of the order of 10^{-6} s for a resolution of 20 µm).

The wall shear stress, which is a crucial quantity in hemodynamic applications, can be computed via the deviatoric stress tensor $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \nu \rho(\partial_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} + \partial_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}^{T})$, evaluated via its kinetic representation:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x},t) = -\frac{3\nu\omega}{c_s^2} \sum_p \boldsymbol{c}_p \boldsymbol{c}_p \left(f_p - f_p^{eq}\right)(\mathbf{x},t) \qquad (5)$$

The tensor second invariant is the Wall Shear Stress or WSS,

$$S(\mathbf{x}_{w},t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma;\sigma)(\mathbf{x}_{w},t)}$$
(6)

where \mathbf{x}_{w} represents the position of sampling points in close proximity to the mesh wall nodes. $S(\mathbf{x}_w, t)$ provides a direct measure of the strength of the near-wall shear stress [23]. It is worth mentioning that the WSS evaluation via Eq. (5) is completely local and does not require any finite-differencing procedure. This is particularly advantageous near boundaries where the computation of gradients is very sensitive to morphological details. In order to sample high signal/noise WSS data, the LB mesh needs high spatial resolution, with mesh spacing being as small as $\Delta x \cong 50 \ \mu m$ for standard fluid dynamic simulations, or being as small as $\Delta x \approx 10$ µm in order to account for the presence of RBC's. Simulations in extended arterial systems are based on the acquisition of MDCT data which are segmented into a stack of slices, followed by a mesh generation from the segmented slices. For a typical coronary artery system, the procedure to build the LB mesh from the MDCT raw data starts from a single vessel, formatted as stacked bidimensional contours (slices), with a nominal resolution of 100 µm.

In spite of recent technological progress, this resolution is still insuffcient and the inherently noisy geometrical data pose a problem in the evaluation of WSS, a quantity that proves extremely sensitive to the details of the wall morphology. Raw MDCT data present a mild level of geometric irregularities that can affect the quality of the LB simulations. For the simulation, we resort to regularize the initial geometry by smoothing the sequence of surface points via a linear filter along the longitudinal direction. Similarly, one could filter out surface points along the azimuthal contour. We have shown that such smoothing is necessary in order to avoid strong artifacts in the simulation results [24]. Even if the precise shape of the vessel is unknown, as it falls within the instrumental indeterminacy, the numerical results converge to a common fluid dynamic pattern as the smoothing procedure reaches a given level. The regularized geometries are still of great interest because they obey the clinical perception of a smooth arterial system and, moreover, the smoothing procedure falls within the intrinsic flexibility of the arterial system.

When studying coronary arteries as a prototypical system for plaque formation and development, one issue regards the presence of deformable vessels. Whereas larger arteries undergo high deformations, a simple calculation shows that the distensibility index of a coronary artery of sectional area A is $b^{-1} \cong 1.5$ mmHg. Therefore, the arterial section during a heartbeat has a maximal deformation of $\delta A/A = b\Delta P$, with ΔP the maximal pressure variation over a cardiac cycle. For a pressure jump of 40 mmHg, the deformation is less than 3% and thus the rigid coronary systems do not introduce major artifacts in the computed flow and pressure distributions.

LB allows to impose no-slip boundary conditions at the endothelium by employing the bounce-back method; this consists of reversing at every time step the postcollisional populations pointing towards a wall node, providing first-order accuracy for irregular walls [13]. In the bounceback method the points corresponding to the exact no-slip hydrodynamic surface fall at intermediate positions between the external fluid mesh nodes and the nearby wall mesh nodes. Owing to its simplicity, the method handles irregular vessel boundaries in a seamless way, although more sophisticated alternatives with higher order accuracy are available [25, 26, 27].

In a branched portion of arteries, boundary conditions at the inlet and multiple outlets can be chosen in different ways, typically by following the flow-pressure, pressure-pressure or flow-flow prescriptions. The first two options are more popular in fluid dynamic models and pressure conditions at the outlets reflect the presence of a recipient medium. Even flow-flow conditions have found some applicability, as they can accommodate some type of metabolic autoregulation as encoded by Murray's law [28]. It is worth mentioning that flowflow conditions can give rise to numerical instabilities in simple pipe flows, as long-living transients can develop. The absence of a peripheral system can be compensated by using an equivalent RCL circuit at each system outlet, where the auxiliary circuitry introduces an external viscous dissipation (R), vessel compliance (C) and fluid inertia (L) and compensates for the missing components (lumped parameter model).

In the framework of the LB method, boundary conditions at the inlet and multiple outlets can be imposed as follows. A constant velocity (with plug or parabolic profile) is enforced at the entrance of the main artery, as a way to control the amplitude of the flow. Even if the inlet profiles are not the real ones for irregular geometries, they fulfill the purpose of imposing the total flow rate in the chosen region. The fluid flow spontaneously and rapidly develops the consistent profile already at a short distance downstream. A constant pressure is imposed on the several outlets of the main artery, as well as on the outlet of all secondary branches (of the order of 10 in typical coronary systems). This leaves the simulation with the freedom of creating an appropriate velocity profile in the outlet regions, and building up a pressure drop between the inlet and the several outlets. The Zou-He method [29] is used to implement both the velocity inlet and the pressure outlets. This method exploits information streamed from fluid bulk nodes onto boundary cells, and imposes a completion scheme for particle populations which are unknown because their neighboring nodes are not part of the fluid domain. The boundary cells are treated as normal fluid cells where the conventional LB scheme holds. Thanks to this natural integration of the boundary scheme, the method is second-order accurate in space, compatible with the overall accuracy of the LB method [30]. The method handles in a natural way time-dependent inflow conditions for pulsatile flows.

The algorithm requires that all nodes of a given inlet or outlet are aligned on a plane which is perpendicular to one of the three main axes, al-though the injected flow profile and direction can be arbitrary. However, since the inlet section is typically a critical region of simulation in terms of numerical stability due to the high fluid velocities, it is preferable to have an incoming flow direction aligned with one the cartesian axis. This requirement can be fulfilled by rotating the artery in such a way as to align the inlet axis with one of the cartesian axis, which guarantees an exact control on the flow imposed at the inlet. Conversely, the outlet planes are not in general normal to the orientation of the blood vessels. However, this does not lead to noticeable problems, because the pressure drop along typical arterial systems is mild, and the error due to imposing a constant pressure along an inclined plane is negligible.

2 Blood as a Suspension

Blood is a complex fluid made of many corpuscular elements suspended in the plasma. Red blood cells (RBC's) or erytrocytes constitute an important component in blood because of their large number density and their crucial role in oxygen transport. Typically, a human RBC has a biconcave shape of $\sim 8 \ \mu m$ in diameter and $\sim 2 \ \mu m$ in thickness. The interior fluid has a viscosity of 6 cP, which is about 5 times of that of the suspending plasma. The cell membrane is highly deformable so the RBC's can pass through capillaries of as small as 4 um inner diameter with large deformation: they exhibit both rotational and orientational responses that effect and modulate blood rheology [1]. While blood flow is quasi-Newtonian away from the endothelial region, the presence of RBCs strongly affects flow in the proximity of the endothelium, where the interplay of RBC crowding for hematocrit levels up to 50% depletion due to hydrodynamic forces, and RBC's arrangement in rouleaux take place.

In order to consider these different factors, we have recently proposed a model that focuses on three independent components: the far-field hydrodynamic interaction of a RBC in a plasma solvent, the raise of viscosity of the suspension with the hematocrit level and the many-body collisional contributions to viscosity [31]. These three critical components conspire to produce large-scale hemorheology and the local structuring of RBCs.

The underlying idea is to represent the different responses of the suspended bodies, emerging from the rigid-body as much as the vesicular nature of the globule, by distinct coupling mechanisms. These mechanisms are entirely handled at kinetic level, that is, the dynamics of plasma and RBC's is governed by appropriate collisional terms that avoid to compute hydrodynamic forces and torques via the Green's function method, as employed in Stokesian dynamics [32]. The fundamental advantage of hydrokinetic modeling is to avoid such an expensive route and, at the same time, enabling to handle finite Reynolds conditions and complex or irregular boundaries within the simple collisional approach. At the macroscopic scale, the non-trivial rheological response emerges spontaneously as a result of the underlying microdynamics.

The presence of suspended RBCs is included via the following forcing term (see eqn. (2)):

$$F_{p} = w_{p} \left[\frac{\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{p}}{\mathbf{c}_{s}^{2}} + \frac{(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{p})(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{p}) - c_{s}^{2}\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{c_{s}^{4}} \right]$$
(7)

where $G(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a local force-torque. This equation produces first-order accurate body forces within the LB scheme. Higher order methods, such that in [33], could be adopted. However, given the non-trivial dependence of the forces and torques on the fluid velocity and vorticity, Guo's method would require an implicit numerical scheme whereas it is preferable to employ an explicit, first-order accurate numerical scheme.

The fluid-body hydrodynamic interaction is constructed according to the transfer function $\delta(\mathbf{r}_i)$ centered on the i-th particle position \mathbf{r}_i and having ellipsoidal symmetry and compact support. The shape of the suspended body can be smaller than the mesh spacing, allowing to simulate a ratio of order 1:1 between suspended bodies and mesh nodes. In addition, the body is scale-adaptive, since it is possible to reproduce from the near-field to the far-field hydrodynamic response with desired accuracy [34]. The fluid-particle coupling requires the computation of the following convolutions over the mesh points and for each configuration of the *N* suspended bodies:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{i} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \widetilde{\delta}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_{i})$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{i} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}) \widetilde{\delta}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_{i})$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{i} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}) \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_{i}) \widetilde{\delta}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_{i})$$
(8)

where Ω is the fluid vorticity and t is the fluid traction vector, quantities that are directly obtained from the LB computational core. The three convolutions allow to compute the drag force and drag torque, inclusive of tank trading components. On the fluid side, the body-induced forces are encoded by the term

$$\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\mathbf{D}_{i} \tilde{\delta}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_{i}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{T}_{i} \times \partial \tilde{\delta}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}_{i}) \right]$$

where \mathbf{D}_i and \mathbf{T}_i are the drag forces and torques acting on the particles, constructed from the quantities in eqs (8).

Besides hydrodynamic interactions, mechanical forces regulate the direct interactions and the packing attitude of suspended bodies. The interactions are modeled as pairwise by means of the Gay-Berne (GB) potential [35], the pairwise GB energy being a function of the relative distance between pairs of RBCs and their mutual orientation. In addition, their interaction depends on the eccentricity of each particle, so that, as for the hydrodynamic coupling, mixtures of particles of different shapes can be handled within a unified framework. Once the forces and torques standing from both hydrodynamics and direct mechanical forces are computed, the rigid body dynamics is propagated via a time second-order accurate algorithm [36, 37].



Figure 2: Snapshot of a multi-branched artery in presence of RBC's for 50% hematocrit.

Numerical results have shown that the particulate nature of blood cannot be omitted when studying the rheology of this biofluid and the shear stress distribution in complex geometries. Regions of low shear stress can appear as the hematocrit reaches physiological levels as a result of the non-trivial organization of RBC's and the irregular morphology of vessels, with far reaching consequences in real-life cardiovascular applications, where the organization of RBC's impacts both the local flow patterns and the large-scale flow distribution in vascular networks. A crucial advantage of the hydrokinetic model with the presence of realistic hematocrit is its reduced computational cost, thus enabling the investigation of systems of physiological relevance (Fig. 2).

3 The Corrugated Wall Surface

At a lower scale, new intriguing aspects come to light in hemodynamics. For example, the vessel wall surface is covered by endothelial cells (EC), that give a wavy structure, so far neglected (Fig. 3): this does not imply a significant variation in the flow field, but it can be relevant in computing WSS, which is constant in a flatwalled artery. Indeed, the EC's (a single EC has been estimated to be about 15 µm long by 0.5 µm high, see [38]) form a continuous, undulated wall layer above which blood is flowing. At such mesoscopic scale, the wall may be considered as a wavy surface constituted by a regular array of equal, repeated EC's. We consider a two-dimensional channel flow between two boundary surfaces located at $y = \pm h(x)$, with the x-axis in the direction of the mean flow. The shape of each internal wall appears as a smoothly corrugated surface: the channel semi-width is obtained as a perturbation around a reference constant value $H: h(x) = H \pm \xi(x)$ where $\xi(x)$ is given by repeating the profile of a single EC several times and subsequently smoothing it. The quantity max ξ/H represents the corrugation degree.



Figure 3: The rough surface of the endothelium as imaged using scanning force microscopy (from [38]). Arrows point t granular structures on EC's surfaces, white line marks scanning line for height profile evaluation, scale bar corresponds to 5 μm.

For such complex geometries, the original LB method, designed to be used over a uniform Cartesian grid, would represent a severe limitation for high resolutions near the walls. Recent advances in LB have led to a substantial enhancement for handling irregular shapes, and a particularly interesting option is represented by finite-volume formulations on fully unstructured grids (ULBE) [39]. The pressure-driven axi-symmetric flow of a continuum fluid through a plane channel having a corrugated surface where the grid is locally refined (Fig. 4) has been recently modeled with ULBE by [8].



Figure 4: A 2D arterial segment having a corrugated wall, covered by a near-wall refined triangular unstructured mesh.

At small Reynolds number and with moderate corrugation degree, the velocity profiles preserve the parabolic shape (Fig. 5). However, the wall corrugation causes a local change of the velocity derivative and hence a variation on the WSS values which match the undulation of the wall: in particular, the minimum and maximum of WSS correspond to the wall throat and peak, respectively. Their values depend on the vessel diameter and on the flow rate, but their ratio remains almost constant. As Fig. 5 shows, the shear stress rises linearly in the transverse direction, except near the wall. Here, the variation in cross-sectional width generates a substantial local difference in the shear rates and stresses, and the consequence is a local variation of these quantities in a boundary layer close to the wall and an oscillation of the shear rates and WSS along the endothelium.

We have further investigated the dependence and the sensitivity on the corrugation degree, and quantified the WSS differences with the variation of vessel diameter and flow rates. It was shown a significant WSS variations between the EC's wall peaks and throats, especially in small-sized arteries [8].



Figure 5: Parabolic velocity profiles along the wavy channel: its wall is constituted by a uniform sequence of peaks and throats (top). Cross-stream variation of shear stress in half-channel: continuous line - peak of EC; dashed line - valley of EC (LB units) (bottom).

4 The Endothelial Surface Layer

The endothelial surface is not only wavy in its geometry, but, at a smaller scale, it is covered by fibrous filaments and long protein chains forming a thin layer called the endothelial surface layer (ESL) or glycocalyx [9]. From a fluid dynamics point of view, the ESL can be modelled as a porous layer of constant thickness (50-100 nm) which suits the wall undulation, through which the flow of the continuous phase (plasma) is possible. This would alter the boundary condition of the problem, specifically the classical no-slip condition at the vessel wall may have to be replaced to allow for plasma penetration through the ESL. The LB method readily accommodates a model of the glycocalyx itself, as it is particularly well suited to address what would now become a multiscale model. Furthermore, and differently than in sect. 2, the mesoscopic particulate nature of the blood is now addressed in the context of a bicomponent fluid model: RBC are here deformable, neutrally buoyant liquid drops constrained by a uniform interfacial tension and suspended in the plasma.

The mesoscale LB method is still used to solve the governing hydrodynamic equations, that involves multicomponent fluid flow, off-lattice, or sub-grid, boundary surfaces and a porous-layer representative of the ESL. The governing hydrodynamic equations for flow in a porous media, with constant or variable porosity ϵ , are an extension of eqn. (4) as in [41]:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(\epsilon \mathbf{P}) + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F}$$
(9)

Here \mathbf{F} is the total body force due to the presence of both the porous material (drag) and other external forces:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\epsilon v}{K} \mathbf{u} - \frac{\epsilon F_{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{K}} \mathbf{u} |\mathbf{u}| + \epsilon \mathbf{H}$$
(20)

where **H** is the extra body force that will be used to incorporate further details of the ESL and particulate effects, such as the RBC interface force density (pressure step) defined below. To solve governing equations (9)–(10) we combine the LB methods of [41], with the model of [42], that allows for the introduction of two immiscible fluid components and the formation of interfaces embedding surface tension laws.

To complete the algorithm, we must mention that, for multiple fluid LB, the propagation step is augmented by a fluid segregation process that ensures the correct kinematics and dynamics and good integrity for an interface between completely immiscible fluid components, representing RBC and plasma, as discussed above [42]. The propagation step is expressed as:

$$R_p(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{c}_p \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = \frac{R}{\rho} f_p^+ + w_p \beta \frac{RB}{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_p \cdot \mathbf{n}$$

$$B_p(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{c}_p \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = \frac{B}{\rho} f_p^+ - w_p \beta \frac{RB}{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_p \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad (11)$$

where the density of each fluid component is given by $R = \sum_p R_p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $B = \sum_p B_p(\mathbf{x}, t)$, the combined particle distribution function is $f_p = R_p + B_p$ and f_p^+ accounts for the propagated combined distribution. In eqn. (11) β represents an interfacial segregation parameter and **n** the interfacial unit normal vector. We also note that, if only one fluid component exists, eqs. (11) reduce to the standard LB propagation step eqn. (2). Returning to the definition of the extra body force term, **H** in eqn. (10), this incorporates both particulate and glycocalyx forces and is defined as

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\sigma}{2\rho} \pi \nabla \rho_{\mathrm{N}} + \mathbf{E}$$
(32)

The left hand side term imposes an interfacial tension σ on multicomponent particles. Here $\pi = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is the local curvature and $\rho_N = (R - B)/(R + B)$ is a phase field indicator. The right hand term **E** is a glycocalyx force that acts upon the particles as described below.

In the proposed model of the ESL as a porous layer, the porosity is reduced by a compressive encounter with an erythrocyte. As a consequence, the ESL is squashed locally transporting the same mass into a smaller volume and consequently decreasing the porosity in that region. Even in the simplest situation, the ESL-lumen boundary should not be regarded as sharp and there is an *uncertainty region* between bulk, lumen and glycocalyx material [40]. Let us define a variable porosity $\epsilon(x, y)$ that tends to 1 in the lumen region and gradually reduces, as it enters the glycocalyx region, where it approaches a minimum value, ϵ_G .

This porosity transition is modelled through the increasing smooth function:

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon_{\rm G} + \frac{1 - \epsilon_{\rm G}}{2} [1 - \tanh(\xi(s - l))] \qquad (13)$$

where *l* is the mean ESL thickness and the parameter $1/\xi$ determines the distribution of (i.e. the effective standard deviation of) protein chain lengths, while $s(\mathbf{x})$ denotes distance measured normally to the endothelial surface. Note that $\epsilon_{\rm G} \leq \epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$ and that for $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ we have $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ (see eqn. (10)) and the equations (9)–(10) reduce to the multi-component Navier-Stokes equations for free multi-component fluid flows, and the described procedure reduces to the standard LB method for a two-component, incompressible fluid.

On the other hand, an additional, fictitious, repulsive body force density acts on the drop interface which enters the ESL region, impinging on the lumen. This force distribution is so designed that its accumulation produces an effective Hookean force acting at the centre of the local volume. Specifically, the erythrocyte is subjected to a surface force distribution, effective in the ESL only, which is directed everywhere in the drop-surface normal direction.

This force device effectively models the glycocalyx as a continuum of elastic springs, with modulus E, gradually decaying from a maximum value, E_G (in the ESL) to 0 (towards the bulk):

$$E(x) = \frac{E_G}{2} [1 - \tanh(\xi(s - l))]$$
(44)

where all notations are given in correspondence to eqn. (13). It is important to note that the above force acts solely on the drop and not upon the plasma. Hence, the relative density of the material which comprises the drop may be modelled by appropriate choice of the spring constant E_G in the above equation. A number of simulations have been carried out in the case of an axisymmetric channel having the same corrugation repeated along the length. Its size (of order of µm) is slightly larger than a single RBC flowing through it, driven by a constant pressure gradient with periodic conditions. At such fine scale, for accuracy purposes, the off lattice non-slip endothelial surface uses continuous bounce back conditions [25]. The ESL structure has been modelled as a porous layer of constant thickness over the undulated wall. As one may expect, the average velocity of the drop is slower in the presence of the glycocalyx, which constitutes a hindrance for the lumen flow. Also, the mean deformation of the drop is more pronounced with the glycocalyx force (Fig. 7). Hence, when the drop is in the ESL inuence region, it is subjected to the elastic force, which squeezes and lifts it, away from the boundary, whilst making its shape more elongated. Considering the action of the glycocalyx as a sensor of mechanical forces, it is worth computing the shear stress at the glycocalyx / lumen boundary (GSS). Fig. 8 shows the differences for WSS in the cases without and with glycocalyx: it evidences, in the latter case, a reduction of the shearing stress either at the wall (WSS, due to the plasma only) and at the ESL top (GSS, due to the particulate fluid).



Figure 6: The porosity function ε (continuous line) as a function of the distance s: the latter increases form a minimum value ε_{c} (in the ESL or GL) to the bulk fluid ($\varepsilon = 1$). Similarly the elasticity modulus E (dashed line) varies from a maximum value E_{c} in GL to 0 (no elastic force) out of it. Note the smooth transition region (due to the uncertainty ESL thickness) controlled by the parameter ξ .





It is possible that cilia, which deform preferentially at their tip, would be more likely to protect the endothelial cell from WSS fluctuations associated with RBC's transits [8].



Figure 8: The WSS and GSS along the channel at the same time without (top) and with glycocalyx (bottom).

As a comprehensive computational tool to account the different aspects in blood flow simulation in a unified LB framework, we developed the software MUPHY that involves five basic steps: (1) Acquisition of MDCT data; (2) Data segmentation into a stack of slices; (3) Mesh generation from the segmented slices; (4) Flow simulation; (5) Data analysis and visualization. The MUPHY simulation package is designed to handle generic geometries, such as those provided by the MDCT acquisitions, and to run large scale simulations on commodity or high-performance hardware resources. The major advantage of MUPHY is the possibility of concurrently simulating fluid-dynamics together with suspended bodies at cellular and molecular scales. This multi-scale methodology arises from the combined use of LB and molecular dynamics techniques [19].

5 Conclusions

Studying the cardiovascular system and capturing the essence of blood circulation requires to cope with the complexity of such biological fluid, as much as the details of the vessel's anatomy. From the computational standpoint, taming such complexity is a hard work, as it requires to handle several concurrent actors. Choosing the right computational tool, therefore, is a delicate task that has been addressed in the present paper.



It was shown that the LB method is an extremely powerful framework to deal simultaneously with blood plasma, red blood cells and the glycocalyx in a unified and consistent form. The versatility of this framework is such to be a good candidate to study fluids of different types and at different scales without major differences.

When dealing specifically with blood and the development of cardiovascular disease, it is key to address the detailed structure and dynamics of blood in the surroundings of the endothelium, as recent work has revealed a correlation between the flow-induced mechano-transduction in the glycocalyx and the development of atherosclerosis. The presence of the glycocalyx is supposed necessary for the endothelial cells to react to fluid shear, and its role is characterized by studying its response to shear stress. A coarse-grained model and a preliminary numerical simulation of the blood flow over the exact, microscale, corrugated EC shape covered by a prototype ESL has been proposed. Another direction we are undertaking is to enhance our current, simplistic, interfacial tension model with additional stresses and bending properties associated with elastic structures. Our current effort is to modify and extend the behaviour our fluid-fluid interface so as to enrich and adapt its existing mechanical properties, in a manner which mimics the thin membrane of erythrocytes.

If, at one hand, the microscopic blood-wall interaction has a noticeable importance for pathological states, on the other hand, the simulation of large-scale circulatory systems relies on sophisticated imaging techniques and powerful computational tools. Owing to the basic assets of hydrokinetic modeling, the unifying LB methodology provides a reliable and robust approach to the understanding of cardiovascular disease in multiplescale arterial systems, with great potential for impact on physiological and biomedical applications. The inclusion of red blood cells allows to reproduce realistic blood processes and represents a step forward for clinical purposes, as much as for the fundamental aspects in hemodynamics and hemorheology.

References

- Zhang J, Johnson P, and Popel A. Effects of erytrocyte deformability and aggregation on the cell free layer and apparent viscosity of microscopic blood flows. *Micro*vasc. Res. 2009; 77(3):265-272
- [2] ChatzizisisYS, Jonas M, Coskun AU, Beigel R, Stone BV, Maynard C, Gerrity RG, Daley W, Rogers C, Edelman ER, Feldman CL, and Stone PH. Prediction of the

localization of high-risk coronary atherosclerotic plaques on the basis of low endothelial shear stress: an intravascular ultrasound and histopathology natural history study. *Circ.* 2008; 117(8):993–1002

- [3] Shaaban AM and Duerinckx AJ. Wall shear stress and early atherosclerosis: a review. *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol.* 2000; 174(6):1657–1665
- [4] Caro C, Fitzgerald J, Schroter R. Arterial wall shear stress and distribution of early atheroma in man. *Nature*. 1969:223:1159–1161
- [5] Malek AM, Alper SL, Izumo S. Hemodynamic shear stress and its role in atherosclerosis. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 1999; 282(21):2035–2042
- [6] Vorp DA, Steinman DA, Ethier CR. Computational modeling of arterial biomechanics. *Comput. Sci. Eng.* 2001; P.51–64.
- [7] Pahakis M, Kosky J, Dull R, Tarbell J. The role of endothelial glycocalyx components in mechanotransduction of fluid shear stress. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 2007; 355(1):228–233
- [8] Pontrelli G, König C, Halliday I, Spencer T, Collins M, Long Q, Succi S. Modelling wall shear stress in small arteries using the Lattice Boltzmann method: inuence of the endothelial wall profile. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 2011b; 33 (7):832–839
- [9] Weinbaum S, Tarbell J, Damiano E. The structure and the function of the endothelial glycocalyx layer. *Ann. Rev. Biom. Eng.*, 2007; 9 (6.1)
- [10] Secomb T, Hsu R, Pries A. Blood flow and red blood cell deformation in nonuniform capillaries: effects of the endothelial surface layer. *Microcirculation*. 2002; 9:189– 196
- [11] Arlsan, N. Mathematical solution of the flow field over glycocalyx inside vascular system. *Math. Comp. Appl.* 2007; 12:173–179
- [12] Vincent P, Sherwin S, Weinberg P. Viscous flow over outflow slits covered by an aniso-tropic Brinkman medium: a model of flow above interendothelial cell cleft. *Phys. Fluids.* 2008; 20(6):63-106
- [13] Succi S. The Lattice Boltzmann Equation for Fluid Dynamics and Beyond. Oxford University Press, USA; 2001.
- [14] Pontrelli G, Ubertini S, Succi S. The unstructured lattice Boltzmann method for Non-Newtonian flows. J. Stat. Mech. Theory & Exp. 2009; P.06005.
- [15] Rybicki FJ, Otero HJ, Steigner ML, Vorobiof G, Nallamshetty L, Mitsouras D, Ersoy H, Mather RT, Judy PF, Cai T, Coyner K, Schultz K, Whitmore AG, Di Carli MF. Initial evaluation of coronary images from 320detector row computed tomography. *Intl. J. Cardiovasc. Imaging.* 2008; 24(5):535–546
- [16] Ouared R, Chopard B. Lattice Boltzmann simulations of blood flow: Non-Newtonian rheology and clotting processes. J. Stat. Phys. 2005; 121:209–221



- [17] Evans D, Lawford P, Gunn J, Walker D, Hose D, Smallwood R, Chopard B, Krafczyk M, Bernsdorf J, Hoekstra A. The application of multiscale modelling to the process of development and prevention of stenosis in a stented coronary artery. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A.* 2008; 366(1879):3343–3360
- [18] Melchionna S, Bernaschi M, Succi S, Kaxiras E, Rybicki FJ, Mitsouras D, Coskun AU, Feldman CL. Hydrokinetic approach to large-scale cardiovascular blood flow. *Comput. Phys. Comm.* 2010; 181:462–472
- [19] Bernaschi M, Melchionna S, Succi S, Fyta M, Kaxiras E, Sircar J. MUPHY: a parallel MUlti PHYsics/scale code for high performance bio-fluidic simulations. *Comp. Phys. Comm.*, 2009; 180:1495–1502
- [20] Boyd J, Buick J, Green S. Analysis of the Casson and Carreau-Yasuda non-Newtonian models in steady and oscillatory flows using the lattice Boltzmann method. *Phys. Fluids.* 2007; 19:32-103
- [21] Janela J, Pontrelli G, Sequeira A, Succi S, Ubertini S. Unstructured lattice-Boltzmann methods for hemodynamics flows with shear-dependent viscosity. *Int. J. Modern Physics.* 2010; 21(6):1–17
- [22] Benzi R, Succi S, Vergassola M. Theory and application of the lattice Boltzmann equation. *Phys. Rep.*, 1992; 222(3):147
- [23] Boyd J, Buick JM. Three-dimensional modelling of the human carotid artery using the lattice boltzmann method: II. shear analysis. *Phys. Med. Biol.*, 2008; 53(20):5781– 5795
- [24] Melchionna S, Kaxiras E, Bernaschi M, Succi S. Endothelial shear stress from large-scale blood flow simulations. *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. A: Math., Phys. and Eng. Sci.* 2011; 369(1944):2354–2361
- [25] Bouzidi M, Firdaouss M, Lallemand P. Momentum transfer of a Boltzmann-lattice fluid with boundaries. *Phys. Fluids.* 2001; 13(11):3452–3459
- [26] Ladd AJC, Verberg R. Lattice-Boltzmann simulations of particle-fluid suspensions. J. Stat. Phys. 2001; 104(5):1191–1251
- [27] Guo Z, Zheng C, and Shi B. An extra-polation method for boundary conditions in lattice Boltzmann method. *Phys. Fluids.* 2002a; 14:2007
- [28] Sherman TF. On connecting large vessels to small. the meaning of Murray's law. J. Gen. Physiol. 1981; 78(4):431–453
- [29] Zou Q, He X. On pressure and velocity boundary conditions for the lattice Boltzmann BGK model. *Phys. Fluids.* 1997; 9(6):1591

- [30] Latt J, Chopard B, Malaspinas O, Deville M, Michler A. Straight velocity boundaries in the lattice Boltzmann method. *Phys. Rev. E.* 2008; 77(5):56-703
- [31] Melchionna S. A model for red blood cells in simulations of large-scale blood flows. *Macromol. Theory & Sim.* 2011b; 20:000
- [32] Brady JF, Bossis G. Stokesian dynamics. Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 1988; 20:111
- [33] Guo Z, Zheng C, Shi B. Discrete lattice effects on the forcing term in the lattice Boltzmann method. *Phys. Rev. E*. 2002b; 65(11):46-308
- [34] Melchionna S. Incorporation of smooth spherical bodies in the lattice boltzmann method. J. Comput. Phys. 2011a; 230(10):3966–3976
- [35] Gay JG, Berne BJ. Modification of the overlap potential to mimic a linear site–site potential. J. Chem. Phys. 1981; 74:3316
- [36] Melchionna S. Design of quasisymplectic propagators for langevin dynamics. J. Chem. Phys. 2007; 127:44-108
- [37] Dullweber A, Leimkuhler B, Mclachlan R. A symplectic splitting method for rigid-body molecular dynamics. J. *Chem. Phys.* 1997; 107:5851
- [38] Reichlin T, Wild A, Dürrenberger M, Daniels A, Aebi U, Hunziker P, Stolz M. Investigating native coronary artery endothelium in situ and in cell culture by scanning force microscopy. J. Structural Biol. 2005; 152:52–63
- [39] Ubertini S, Succi S. Recent advances of lattice Boltzmann techniques on unstructured grids. *Prog. Comput. Fluid Dyn.* 2005; 5(1/2):84–96; PMID: 1870131.
- [40] Pontrelli G, Halliday I, Spencer T, Care C, König C, Collins M. Near wall hemodynamics: modelling the glycocalyx and the endothelium surface. *Proceedings Micro* and Nano Flows Conference, MNF2011, CD rom; 2011a.
- [41] Guo Z, Zhao T. Lattice Boltzmann model for incompressible flows through porous media. *Phys. Rev. E.* 2002; 66:36-304.
- [42] Halliday I, Hollis A, Care C. Lattice Boltzmann algorithm for continuum multicomponent flow. *Phys. Rev. E*. 2007; 76:26-708.

70 SNE 23(2) – 8/2013